



Grower Summary

SF 168

Survey of earwig abundance in
blackcurrant plantations in the
main growing areas of the UK in
2016

Final 2017

Disclaimer

While the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board seeks to ensure that the information contained within this document is accurate at the time of printing, no warranty is given in respect thereof and, to the maximum extent permitted by law the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board accepts no liability for loss, damage or injury howsoever caused (including that caused by negligence) or suffered directly or indirectly in relation to information and opinions contained in or omitted from this document.

©Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board 2017. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form (including by photocopy or storage in any medium by electronic mean) or any copy or adaptation stored, published or distributed (by physical, electronic or other means) without prior permission in writing of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, other than by reproduction in an unmodified form for the sole purpose of use as an information resource when the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board or AHDB Horticulture is clearly acknowledged as the source, or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. All rights reserved.

The results and conclusions in this report may be based on an investigation conducted over one year. Therefore, care must be taken with the interpretation of the results.

Use of pesticides

Only officially approved pesticides may be used in the UK. Approvals are normally granted only in relation to individual products and for specified uses. It is an offence to use non-approved products or to use approved products in a manner that does not comply with the statutory conditions of use, except where the crop or situation is the subject of an off-label extension of use.

Before using all pesticides check the approval status and conditions of use.

Read the label before use: use pesticides safely.

Further information

If you would like a copy of the full report, please email the AHDB Horticulture office (hort.info.@ahdb.org.uk), quoting your AHDB Horticulture number, alternatively contact AHDB Horticulture at the address below.

AHDB Horticulture,
AHDB
Stoneleigh Park
Kenilworth
Warwickshire
CV8 2TL

Tel – 0247 669 2051

AHDB Horticulture is a Division of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board.

Project title: Survey of earwig abundance in blackcurrant plantations in the main growing areas of the UK in 2016

Project number: SF 168

Project leader: Dr Michelle Fountain, NIAB EMR

Report: Final Report 2017

Previous report: None

Key staff: Madeleine Cannon (NIAB EMR)
Dr Phil Brain (NIAB EMR)

Location of project: NIAB EMR, Grower sites

Industry Representative: Harriet Roberts, Lucozade Ribena Suntory LTD, harriet.roberts@lrsuntory.com

Date project commenced: April 2016

Date project completed (or expected completion date): April 2017

GROWER SUMMARY

Headline

- A survey of earwig abundance and its influencing factors has been carried out in blackcurrant plantations across the UK in 2016.

Background and expected deliverables

The common European earwig, *Forficula auricularia* L., is the most frequently encountered species of earwig in UK fruit plantations (Fitzgerald and Solomon, 1996; Solomon et al., 1999). Earwigs are omnivorous feeding on other arthropods, plants, pollen, microscopic algae and fungi, and are sometimes cannibalistic. They are important predators of many pests including scale insects (McLeod and Chant, 1952; Karsemeijer, 1973), psyllids (Lenfant et al., 1994; Solomon et al., 1999), woolly apple aphid, *Eriosoma lanigerum* Hausmann (Phillips, 1981; Ravensburg, 1981; Noppert et al., 1987; Mueller et al., 1988; Nicholas et al., 2005; Dib et al., 2010) and codling moth, *Cydia pomonella* L. (Glen, 1977). Hence, they also have the potential to be an important predator of soft bodied pests in blackcurrant plantations (aphids, sawfly eggs and larvae, midge larvae and caterpillars).

Research at NIAB EMR has shown large differences in earwig populations in apple and pear orchards (EMR, 2014: Annual report, p 20-21). Reports that earwigs are declining in some orchards (Gobin et al., 2008) have raised concern for this effective, natural enemy in apple and pear orchards, but to date there is no comparative data on the abundance of earwigs in blackcurrant plantations. Research by NIAB EMR and other researchers across Europe and New Zealand identified that earwigs can be adversely affected by applications of some insecticides (reviewed in Fountain and Harris (2015) and TF 220 (2015)). Little is known about the impact of insecticide applications on earwig populations in blackcurrant plantations.

Summary of the project and main conclusions

Five of the main UK blackcurrant growing regions were surveyed in 2016 to determine earwig abundance in blackcurrant crops. Ten plantations were surveyed in each region. In collaboration with Harriet Roberts, LRS, ten refuges (confidential design, see p.4 of the Science Section of this report) were deployed at the edge and centre of each plantation for a minimum of 45 days. Grower spray programmes for 2015 and 2016 were collected to examine effects of insecticide spray programmes on earwig abundance within each plantation. Toxicity scores of commonly used insecticides in blackcurrant crops were used and summed for each crop. Earwig numbers varied greatly between blackcurrant plantations within and between farms (mean 0 – 110 earwigs per refuge). However, no significant correlation between earwig

abundance and the toxicity score of the insecticide spray programmes was found. Nonetheless, blackcurrant plantations which received an insecticide spray programme with an overall toxicity score greater than 14 had fewer earwigs (<20 earwigs per refuge) and with a score greater than 25 had no earwigs. Earwig numbers varied between plantations where insecticide spray programmes were similar. For example, the insecticide spray programmes for farm A had the same overall toxicity score on each of the plantations whilst mean earwig numbers varied from 3 to 63 earwigs per refuge per plantation. This suggests that other abiotic and biotic factors may have influenced earwig abundance in blackcurrant plantations in addition to spray programmes. Further studies are needed to determine what other factors influence earwig populations in blackcurrant.

Financial benefits

Approvals for chlorpyrifos and pirimicarb have been discontinued on blackcurrant, so a reliance on natural enemies is becoming increasingly prevalent. Earwigs have been recognised as effective at controlling pests in apple and pear orchards and increasing earwig numbers in blackcurrant could help to suppress many common blackcurrant pests. If earwigs are found to be sufficient at controlling pests then fewer insecticides will need to be applied reducing residue levels in the fruit and reducing the cost of insecticide inputs.

Action points for growers

- Assess earwig presence and abundance in blackcurrant plantations by tap sampling or using corrugated cardboard bottle refuges.
- Foster and encourage populations of earwigs by considering the choice and timing (females in canopy Apr, nymphs in canopy May – Sep) of insecticide products applied.
- Monitor pest incidence alongside general natural enemy numbers.

