SCEPTREPLUS Final Trial Report

| Trial code: | SP 36 (W2018.012) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Title: | Evaluating treatments for control of lettuce root aphid on lettuce | | | | | |
| Сгор | Group: Field vegetables - Lettuce | | | | | |
| Target | Lettuce root aphid - Pemphigus bursarius -PEMPBU | | | | | |
| Lead researcher: | Dr Rosemary Collier | | | | | |
| Organisation: | University of Warwick, School of Life Sciences, Wellesbourne, Warwick CV35 9EF | | | | | |
| Period: | May 2018 – October 2018 | | | | | |
| Report date: | 12/2/19 | | | | | |
| Report author: | Andrew Jukes and Dr Rosemary Collier | | | | | |
| ORETO Number: (certificate should be attached) | 381 | | | | | |

I the undersigned, hereby declare that the work was performed according to the procedures herein described and that this report is an accurate and faithful record of the results obtained.

29 February 2019

Rosemary Comer

.....

....

... Authors signature

Date

Trial Summary

Introduction

The quality and yield of lettuce crops can be reduced when roots are colonized by lettuce root aphid (*Pemphigus bursarius*). There are currently a limited number of control options and approval for the standard treatment (the neonicotinoid Thiamethoxam – Cruiser seed treatment) has been revoked. Treatment of seed with neonicotinoids also provides control of foliage-feeding aphids.

Methods

Lettuce seed (cv Challenge) was sown into peat blocks on 2 occasions, 1 week apart. -Transplanting into a field plot was timed to coincide with the predicted migration of winged lettuce-root aphid (11 June 2018) (3 weeks after sowing). The trial was designed for four replicates of twelve treatments. Treatments (all conventional insecticides) were applied at sowing (seed treatment or "Phytodrip"), pre-planting (drench) or as post-planting sprays. Plants were dug-up when they were commercially harvestable (64 and 68 days after sowing for the first and second transplants respectively). The roots were scored (0 – 4 scale, 0 = no aphids, 1 = <10, 2 = 11-100, 3= 101-100 and 4 = >1000 aphids) for levels of lettuce root aphid infestation, the plants were weighed after trimming and the heads were assessed for foliar pests. As low levels of lettuce root aphid were seen, the remaining plants in each plot were also dug-up (92 and 85 days after sowing for the first and second transplants respectively) and the roots were assessed as before.

Results

The results for both assessments were combined and the mean lettuce root aphid infestation score and numbers of plants per plot infested with lettuce root aphid (20 plants per plot per transplant in total) are presented in the table below. Levels of infestation were generally low. Statistical analyses were not significant for data from either transplanting or the two transplantings combined. There is no evidence that any treatment decreased numbers of aphids compared with the untreated control but numbers in the control were particularly low.

| | Mean infestation score | | | Mean nur | nber of plar | nts infested |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| Treatment | First | Second | Both | First | Second | Both |
| | planting | planting | plantings | planting | planting | plantings |
| Control | 0.000 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Cruiser ¹ | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.75 | 1.75 | 2.50 |
| Movento x 1 ⁴ | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| Movento x 2 ⁴ | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| AHDB9966 4 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.75 | 1.50 | 2.25 |
| AHDB9951 4 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 3.25 |
| AHDB9948 ³ | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 2.25 | 0.00 | 2.25 |
| AHDB9948 ² | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 1.50 |
| AHDB9943 ² | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
| AHDB9966 ² | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 2.75 |
| AHDB9951 ² | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1.00 |
| AHDB9948 ² | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| F value | 0.925 | 1.157 | 0.755 | 0.976 | 1.325 | 0.905 |
| P -value | 0.528 | 0.350 | 0.680 | 0.485 | 0.251 | 0.545 |
| d.f. | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| s.e.d. | 0.097 | 0.072 | 0.069 | 0.909 | 0.795 | 1.429 |
| l.s.d. | 0.196 | 0.145 | 0.140 | 1.844 | 1.612 | 2.898 |

¹ Seed treatment; ² "Phytodrip" at sowing' ³ Pre-planting drench; ⁴ In-field spray

Small numbers of silver Y moth caterpillars were observed but they were insufficient for any statistical analysis. Currant lettuce aphid was seen only on a very small number of plants. The only insect seen in relatively large numbers was the nymph of the common green capsid bug but there was no evidence of any treatment effects.

Conclusions

There was no indication of lettuce root aphid control from any of the test treatments including the standard (approved) products, but levels of infestation on control plots were very low. Colonisation by lettuce root aphids may have been adversely affected by the very hot, dry weather during the trial period and it is possible that the cultivar of lettuce tested may have had some (unspecified) resistance to lettuce root aphid. None of the treatments appeared to control either silver Y moth caterpillars or the common green capsid bug.

Take home message:

Because of the low level of pest infestation overall there is no information that can be taken from this trial.

Objectives

- 1. To evaluate the effectiveness of conventional insecticides applied against lettuce root aphid on lettuce as measured by the level of infestation.
- 2. To monitor the treated crop for phytotoxicity

Trial conduct

UK regulatory guidelines were followed but EPPO guidelines took precedence. The following EPPO guidelines were followed:

| Relevant EPPO | Variation from EPPO | |
|---|---|------|
| PP 1/152(3) | Design and analysis of efficacy evaluation trials | None |
| PP 1/135(3) | None | |
| PP 1/181(3) Conduct and reporting of efficacy evaluation trials including GEP | | None |

There were no deviations from EPPO guidance:

Test site

| Item | Details |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Location address | University of Warwick |
| | Wellesbourne Campus |
| | Wellesbourne |
| | Warwick |
| | CV35 9EF |
| Crop | Lettuce |
| Cultivar | Challenge |
| Soil or substrate | Sandy loam |
| type | |
| Agronomic | See Appendix A |
| practice | |
| Prior history of site | See Appendix A |

Trial design

| Item | Details |
|------------------------------|---|
| Trial design: | (4x4)/3 Trojan Square |
| Number of replicates: | 4 |
| Row spacing: | 35 cm |
| Plot size: (w x l) | 1.83 x 3.5 m (split over 2 transplants) |
| Plot size: (m ²) | 6 |
| Number of plants per plot: | 40 (20 in each transplant) |
| Leaf Wall Area calculations | n/a |

Treatment details

| AHDB Code | Active substance | Product name/ manufacturers code | Formulation batch number | Content of active substanc e in product | Formulatio n type | Adjuvan t |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------|
| Untreated | | | | | | |
| Authorized (2018) | Thiamethoxam | Cruiser 70WS | Not known | 70% | WS | None |
| Authorized | Spirotetramat | Movento | ECE4101299 | 150 g/l | OD | None |
| AHDB9966 | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | None |
| AHDB9951 | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | None |
| AHDB9948 | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | None |
| AHDB9948 | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | None |
| AHDB9943 | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | N/D | None |

Application schedule

| Treat ment numb er | Treatment: product name or AHDB code | reatment: Rate of active Rate of prod roduct name substance kg/ha r AHDB code (ml or g a.s./ha) | | Application code |
|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Control | | | |
| 2 | Cruiser | 80 g/100,000 seeds | 114 g/100,000 seeds | A1 A2 |
| 3 | Movento x 1 | 75 g | 0.5 | C1 C2 |
| 4 | Movento x 2 | 75 g | 0.5 l | C1 D1 C2 D2 |
| 5 | AHDB9966 | 24 g | 0.2 | C1 C2 |
| 6 | AHDB9951 | 125 g | 0.625 l | C1 C2 |
| 7 | AHDB9948 | 75 g | 0.75 l | C1 C2 |
| 8 | AHDB9948 | 0.75 g/1000 plants | 3.75 ml/1000 plants ¹ | B1 B2 |
| 9 | AHDB9943 | 1 g/1000 plants | 2 g/1000 plants ² | A1 A2 |
| 10 | AHDB9966 | 0.24 g/1000 plants | 2 ml/1000 plants ¹ | A1 A2 |
| 11 | AHDB9951 | 1.25 g/1000 plants | 6.25 ml/1000 plants ¹ | A1 A2 |
| 12 | AHDB9948 | 0.75 g/1000 plants | 3.75 ml/1000 plants ¹ | A1 A2 |

¹ Calculated from spray rate assuming 100,000 plants/ha ² Manufacturer recommendation

Application details

| | Application | Application B1 | Application C1 | Application |
|--|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Application date | 22/5/18 | 12/6/18 | 15/6/18 | 28/6/18 |
| Time of day | 11.00 | 9.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Crop growth stage (Max, min average BBCH) | Seed | 14 | 14 | 18 |
| Crop height (cm) | N/A | 8 | 8 | 10 |
| Crop coverage (%) | N/A | N/A | 15 | 20 |
| Application Method | "Phytodrip" | Drench | Spray | Spray |
| Application Placement | Block | Block | Foliar | Foliar |
| Application equipment | Pipette | Pipette | Berthoud Vern | norel 2000HP |
| Nozzle pressure | N/A | N/A | 2 bar | 2 bar |
| Nozzle type | N/A | N/A | 02F110 | 02F110 |
| Nozzle size | N/A | N/A | 02 | 02 |
| Application water volume/ha | 0.2 ml/block | 1 ml/block | 300 | 300 |
| Temperature of air - shade (°C) | N/A | N/A | 20 | 20 |
| Relative humidity (%) | N/A | N/A | 63 | 72 |
| Wind speed range (m/s) | N/A | N/A | Light | Moderate |
| Dew presence (Y/N) | N/A | N/A | Ν | Ν |
| Temperature of soil - 2-5 cm (°C) | N/A | N/A | Not recorded | Not recorded |
| Wetness of soil - 2-5 cm | N/A | N/A | Damp | Damp |
| Cloud cover (%) | N/A | N/A | Not recorded | Not recorded |

| | Application A2 | Application B2 | Application C2 | Application D2 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Application date | 29/5/18 | 20/6/18 | 21/6/18 | 4/7/18 |
| Time of day | 11.00 | 9.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Crop growth stage (Max, min average BBCH) | Seed | 14 | 14 | 18 |
| Crop height (cm) | N/A | 8 | 8 | 10 |
| Crop coverage (%) | N/A | N/A | 15 | 20 |
| Application Method | "Phytodrip" | Drench | Spray | Spray |
| Application Placement | Block | Block | Foliar | Foliar |
| Application equipment | Pipette | Pipette | Berthoud Vermorel 2000H | |
| Nozzle pressure | N/A | N/A | 2 bar | 2 bar |
| Nozzle type | N/A | N/A | 02F110 | 02F110 |
| Nozzle size | N/A | N/A | 02 | 02 |
| Application water volume/ha | 0.2 ml/block | 1 ml/block | 300 | 300 |
| Temperature of air - shade (°C) | N/A | N/A | 19 | 20 |
| Relative humidity (%) | N/A | N/A | 53 | 64 |
| Wind speed range (m/s) | N/A | N/A | Light | Nil |
| Dew presence (Y/N) | N/A | N/A | N | N |
| Temperature of soil - 2-5 cm (°C) | N/A | N/A | Not recorded | Not recorded |
| Wetness of soil - 2-5 cm | N/A | N/A | Damp | Damp |
| Cloud cover (%) | N/A | N/A | Not recorded | Not recorded |

| Common name | Scientific Name | EPPO Code | Infestation level pre- | Infestation level at start of assessment | Infestation level at end of assessment |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Lottuco | Pomphique | | application | 28 25 % plan | period |
| root aphid | bursarius | PEMPBU | 0 | zo – 35 % piar treatn | nents) |
| Currant lettuce aphid ¹ | Nasonovia ribisnigri | NASORN | 0 | Very low | |
| Silver-y ¹ | Autographa gamma | PYTOGA | 0 | 3 – 4 % plants with caterpillars or frass | |
| Common green capsid bug | Lygocoris pabulinus | LYGUPA | 0 | 37 – 40 % plants infested (all treatments) | |

Untreated levels of pests/pathogens at application and through the assessment period

¹ Non-target pests

Method

Two sequential sowings (1 week intervals) of lettuce (cv Challenge) were made on 22 and 29 May to provide plants for 2 sequential transplantings (to maximise the chances of catching the lettuce root aphid migration and determine the impact of aphid arrival at different stages of crop development). The trial consisted of 12 treatments and each replicate consisted of 20 plants transplanted on each of 2 dates (40 plants/plot in total). The plots were $3.5 \text{ m} \times 1$ bed (1.83 m each) in size. The transplanting dates were: 13 and 20 June. Plants were transplanted at a spacing of 35 cm within rows and 35 cm between rows. Treatments were applied at sowing (Seed treatment or "Phytodrip"), pre-planting (drench) or as post-planting sprays. The "Phytodrip" treatments were applied directly to the seed after sowing in a small volume of water (0.2 ml) and the drench treatment was applied before planting in 1 ml of water (which equates to a similar volume to that applied to brassica transplants). Due to the compaction of the blocks at this stage the 1 ml had to be applied in 2 x 0.5 ml portions with the first 0.5 ml being allowed to soak in before the second was added.

Transplanting and spraying was timed to coincide with the forecasted arrival of winged lettuce root aphid (day-degree forecast on AHDB Pest Bulletin). The migration was predicted to start after 672 day-degrees >4.4°C which was 11 June at Wellesbourne. Application dates are detailed above.

Assessment details

The plots were initially assessed for infestation by lettuce root aphid on 26 July - 1 August (first transplant) and 6 - 7 August (second transplant).

This was done by digging up 10 plants per plot and scoring the roots for damage using the following scale:

| Score | Description |
|-------|-------------------|
| 0 | None |
| 1 | <10 aphids |
| 2 | 11 – 100 aphids |
| 3 | 101 – 1000 aphids |
| 4 | >1000 aphids |

The plants were also assessed for the presence of currant lettuce aphid (*Nasonovia ribisnigri*), silver-y moth (*Autographa gamma*) caterpillars and common green capsid bug (*Lygocoris pabulinus*) nymphs. The head weights of lettuce plants harvested were recorded after trimming.

As low numbers of plants with lettuce root aphid infestations were found, the remaining plants from both transplants (10 per transplant) were dug-up and assessed for lettuce root aphid. No other assessments were made and the plants were not weighed.

Germination and phytotoxicity were assessed on sowing-time treatments 1 day before transplanting and phytotoxicity were assessed 7 days after the first sprays were applied.

| | Evaluation Timing (DA)* | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Evaluation date | After sowing | After first sprays | Crop Growth Stage (BBCH) | Evaluation type (efficacy, phytotox) | Assessment |
| 12/6/18 | 20 | n/a | 14 | Phytotoxicity | Germination and leaf damage |
| 19/6/18 | 20 | n/a | 14 | Phytotoxicity | Germination and leaf damage |
| 22/6/18 | 30 | 7 | 15 | Phytotoxicity | Leaf damage |
| 28/6/18 | 29 | 7 | 15 | Phytotoxicity | Leaf damage |
| 26/7/18 | 64 | 41 | 49 | Efficacy | Root infestation, foliar pests and head weight |
| 6/8/18 | 68 | 46 | 49 | Efficacy | Root infestation, foliar pests and head weight |
| 23/8/18 | 92 (first) 85 (second) | 69 (first) 63 (second) | 51 | Efficacy | Root infestation |

* DA – days after application

Statistical analysis

This trial was designed as a Trojan square for 12 treatments in a $(4^*4)/3$ design. With low numbers of plants with lettuce root aphid infestations, particularly in the untreated control plots, no formal statistical analysis was conducted. However, mean lettuce root aphid scores, numbers of plants with lettuce root aphids, percentage plants with capsid nymphs (after angular transformation) and harvest weight were analysed by ANOVA using the Excel data package.

Results

Phytotoxicity

The number of seedlings which had germinated 20 days after sowing on two occasions is shown in Table 1. No analysis was possible but it is clear that very few plants did not germinate with any of the treatments.

Table 1The number of healthy, unhealthy and missing plants 20 days after
sowing and treatment with "Phytodrip" treatments.

| | Number of seedlings (1 st sowing) | | Number of seedlings (2 nd sowing | | nd sowing) | |
|-----------|--|-----------|---|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Treatment | Healthy | Unhealthy | Missing | Healthy | Unhealthy | Missing |
| Untreated | 86 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 0 | 0 |
| Cruiser | 83 | 0 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 2 |
| AHDB9943 | 86 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 0 | 0 |
| AHDB9966 | 85 | 0 | 3 | 97 | 0 | 1 |
| AHDB9951 | 87 | 0 | 2 | 95 | 0 | 3 |
| AHDB9948 | 88 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 0 | 0 |

Post-spraying in the field there was no evidence of phytotoxic effects with any treatment.

Lettuce root aphid

The results for the mean lettuce root aphid score and the numbers of plants with lettuce root aphid for the first transplanting, the second transplanting and both transplantings combined are presented in Table 2 and Figures 1 and 2. The results presented are the sum of both assessments carried out, so represent 20 plants in each transplanting. None of the analyses were significant at the 5% level using an F-test and no treatment is clearly more effective than the untreated control.

Table 2Mean lettuce root aphid infestation score and numbers of plants per plot
(40 plants per plot total) infested with lettuce root aphid in plants
transplanted on two dates and in all plants combined

| | Mean infestation score | | | Mean nur | nber of plar | nts infested |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| Treatment | First | Second | Both | First | Second | Both |
| | planting | planting | plantings | planting | planting | plantings |
| Control | 0.000 | 0.075 | 0.038 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Cruiser ¹ | 0.050 | 0.113 | 0.081 | 0.75 | 1.75 | 2.50 |
| Movento x 1 ⁴ | 0.063 | 0.013 | 0.038 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| Movento x 2 ⁴ | 0.038 | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| AHDB9966 ⁴ | 0.075 | 0.138 | 0.106 | 0.75 | 1.50 | 2.25 |
| AHDB9951 4 | 0.150 | 0.113 | 0.131 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 3.25 |
| AHDB9948 4 | 0.250 | 0.000 | 0.125 | 2.25 | 0.00 | 2.25 |
| AHDB9948 ³ | 0.050 | 0.063 | 0.056 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 1.50 |
| AHDB9943 ² | 0.038 | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
| AHDB9966 ² | 0.113 | 0.138 | 0.125 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 2.75 |
| AHDB9951 ² | 0.063 | 0.038 | 0.050 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1.00 |
| AHDB9948 ² | 0.063 | 0.025 | 0.044 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| F value | 0.925 | 1.157 | 0.755 | 0.976 | 1.325 | 0.905 |
| P -value | 0.528 | 0.350 | 0.680 | 0.485 | 0.251 | 0.545 |
| d.f. | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| s.e.d. | 0.097 | 0.072 | 0.069 | 0.909 | 0.795 | 1.429 |
| l.s.d. | 0.196 | 0.145 | 0.140 | 1.844 | 1.612 | 2.898 |

¹ Seed treatment

² "Phytodrip" at sowing

³ Pre-planting drench

⁴ In-field spray



Figure 1 Mean lettuce root aphid infestation score for plants transplanted on two dates and for all plants combined



Figure 2 Mean number of plants per plot infested with lettuce root aphid for plants transplanted on two dates and for all plants combined

Currant lettuce aphid

Very few foliar aphids were observed. No results are presented.

Harvest weight

The results for the mean head weight in the first and second transplantings are presented in Table 3 and Figure 3. The results presented are from the first assessments carried out only, so represent 10 plants in each transplanting. None of the analyses were significant at the 5% level using an F-test and no treatment is clearly different to the untreated control.

| | Mean head weight (g) | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Treatment | First planting | Second planting | |
| Control | 1233.4 | 956.8 | |
| Cruiser ¹ | 1240.6 | 1043.6 | |
| Movento x 1 ² | 1185.1 | 992.0 | |
| Movento x 2 ² | 1183.9 | 982.8 | |
| AHDB9966 ² | 1131.5 | 949.1 | |
| AHDB9951 ² | 1200.4 | 1033.9 | |
| AHDB9948 ³ | 1242.5 | 995.9 | |
| AHDB9948 4 | 1182.1 | 1045.9 | |
| AHDB9943 4 | 1147.1 | 999.1 | |
| AHDB9966 4 | 1264.9 | 1018.3 | |
| AHDB9951 4 | 1216.3 | 1279.6 | |
| AHDB9948 4 | 1208.4 | 1013.9 | |
| F value | 0.182 | 0.859 | |
| P -value | 0.998 | 0.586 | |
| d.f. | 36 | 36 | |
| s.e.d. | 131.3 | 130.7 | |
| l.s.d. | 266.4 | 265.0 | |

Table 3Mean lettuce head weight in plants transplanted on two dates

¹ Seed treatment

² "Phytodrip" at sowing

³ Pre-planting drench

⁴ In-field spray



Figure 3 Mean head weight for lettuce plants transplanted on two dates

Common green capsid bug

The results for the percentage of plants with common green capsid bug nymphs in the first and second transplantings are presented in Table 4 and Figure 4. The results presented are from the first assessments carried out only, so represent 10 plants in each transplanting. The analyses for the first transplanting were not significant at the 5% level using an F-test but the analysis for the second transplanting was. However, no treatment reduced numbers of nymphs. Cruiser seed treatment, AHDB9948 spray, AHDB9951 Phytodrip and AHDB9948 Phytodrip all appeared to have increased the numbers of plants with capsid nymphs.

| | Percentage plants with capsid nymphs | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|
| | First p | lanting | anting Second planting | |
| Treatment | Ang | Back trans | Ang | Back trans |
| Control | 33.91 | 31.1 | 26.2 | 19.5 |
| Cruiser ¹ | 40.61 | 42.4 | <mark>45.0</mark> | 50.0 |
| Movento x 1 ² | 39.11 | 39.8 | 27.9 | 21.8 |
| Movento x 2 ² | 38.95 | 39.5 | 28.7 | 23.1 |
| AHDB9966 ² | 40.55 | 42.3 | 28.8 | 23.3 |
| AHDB9951 ² | 46.66 | 52.9 | 34.6 | 32.2 |
| AHDB9948 ³ | 40.61 | 42.4 | <mark>41.3</mark> | 43.5 |
| AHDB9948 4 | 45.00 | 50.0 | 29.5 | 24.3 |
| AHDB9943 4 | 40.39 | 42.0 | 19.9 | 11.6 |
| AHDB9966 4 | 34.50 | 32.1 | 33.1 | 29.8 |
| AHDB9951 4 | 36.70 | 35.7 | <mark>39.6</mark> | 40.7 |
| AHDB9948 4 | 27.64 | 21.5 | <mark>38.9</mark> | 39.5 |
| F value | 0.535 | | 2.763 | |
| P -value | 0.867 | | 0.011 | |
| d.f. | 36 | | 36 | |
| s.e.d. | 9.828 | | 6.203 | |
| l.s.d. | 19.931 | | 12.580 | |

| Table 4 | Mean percentage plants with nymphs of the common green capsid |
|---------|---|
| | bug |

¹ Seed treatment

² "Phytodrip" at sowing

³ Pre-planting drench

⁴ In-field spray

Significantly different from untreated control (p<0.05)





Silver Y moth

The results for the mean percentage plants which had silver Y moth caterpillars and/or caterpillar frass in the first and second transplantings are presented in Table 5. The results presented are from the first assessments carried out only, so represent 10 plants in each transplanting. There were too few plants affected to conduct a statistical analysis.

| | Percentage plants with caterpillars or frass | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Treatment | First planting | Second planting | |
| Control | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Cruiser ¹ | 2.50 | 2.50 | |
| Movento x 1 ² | 5.00 | 0.00 | |
| Movento x 2 ² | 7.50 | 2.78 | |
| AHDB9966 ² | 2.50 | 0.00 | |
| AHDB9951 ² | 5.00 | 2.50 | |
| AHDB9948 ³ | 0.00 | 5.28 | |
| AHDB9948 4 | 2.50 | 0.00 | |
| AHDB9943 4 | 5.00 | 0.00 | |
| AHDB9966 4 | 7.50 | 2.50 | |
| AHDB9951 4 | 2.50 | 2.50 | |
| AHDB9948 4 | 0.00 | 7.50 | |

Table 5Mean percentage plants with silver Y moth caterpillars or frass in
lettuce transplanted on two dates

¹ Seed treatment

² "Phytodrip" at sowing

³ Pre-planting drench

⁴ In-field spray

Discussion

Although establishment of the trial was planned to coincide with the migration of lettuce-root aphid from poplar (migration predicted to start after 672 day-degrees >4.4°C - on 11 June at Wellesbourne), the level of lettuce root aphid infestation was low throughout the trial. However, 28 of the 48 plots had at least one infested plant and no treatment provided complete control of lettuce root aphid. Since numbers remained particularly low and there was a high level of variability between plots statistical analysis did not indicate any differences between treatments or any level of control compared with the untreated plants.

There are a number of possible reasons why lettuce root aphid establishment was lower than would have been expected. The most obvious of these was the prolonged period of hot dry weather that accompanied the trial together with the extensive irrigation required to keep the lettuce plants growing. Also, there could have been an element of resistance (unspecified) to lettuce root aphid within the cultivar which was tested. It should also be noted that colony establishment in plants grown in peat blocks will be more difficult than in direct sown crops. Incidence of the currant lettuce aphid was even lower and it was impossible to see treatment differences. Small numbers of silver Y moth caterpillars were seen but insufficient to indicate any control from any of the treatments. The insect present in greatest numbers was the nymph of the common green capsid bug but none of the treatments appeared to offer any control.

All treatments mixed and sprayed well. No wetter was required. There were no phytotoxic effects.

Conclusions

- There was no indication of lettuce root aphid control from any of the test treatments, including the standard (approved) products, but levels of infestation on control plots were very low.
- Lettuce root aphid colonization may have been adversely affected by the very hot, dry weather during the trial period.
- The cultivar of lettuce tested may have had some (unspecified) resistance to lettuce root aphid.
- Similarly, no treatments appeared to control either silver Y moth caterpillars or the common green capsid bug.
- No treatments caused phytotoxic effects

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the AHDB for funding and supporting this project and for the financial and in kind contributions from the crop protection manufactures and distributors involved with the SCEPTREplus programme as listed below: Agrii, Alpha Biocontrol Ltd, Andermatt, Arysta Lifescience, BASF, Bayer, Belchim, Bionema Limited, Certis Europe, Dow, DuPont, Eden Research, Fargro Limited, FMC, Gowan, Interfarm, Lallemand Plant Care, Novozymes, Oro Agri, Russell IPM, Sumitomo Chemicals, Syngenta, UPL. We would also like to thank G's for providing the lettuce seed and for their technical advice.

Appendix

a. Crop diary - events related to growing crop

| Сгор | Cultivar | Planting/sowing date | Row width (m) |
|---------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|
| Lettuce | Challenge | 13 and 20 June 2018 | 0.35 |

Previous cropping

| Year | Сгор |
|------|-------------|
| 2016 | Field beans |
| 2017 | Barley |

Cultivations

| Date | Description | Depth |
|---------|-------------|-------|
| 26/2/18 | Ploughing | 25cm |
| 12/6/18 | Bed forming | 15cm |

Active ingredient(s) / fertiliser(s) applied to the trial area

| Date | Product | Rate | Unit |
|---------|---------|------|---------|
| 2/11/17 | 0:20:20 | 500 | Kg/ha |
| 12/6/18 | Nitram | 100 | Kg N/ha |

Pesticides applied to the trial area

| Date | Product | Rate | Unit |
|---------|----------|------|------|
| 15/6/18 | Kerb Flo | 3.5 | l/ha |
| 21/6/18 | Kerb Flo | 3.5 | l/ha |

Details of irrigation regime

| Date | Type, rate and duration | Amount applied (mm) |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 13/6/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 18/6/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 20/6/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 22/6/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 25/6/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 26/6/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 28/6/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 29/6/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 3/7/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |

| 9/7/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
|---------|---------------------|---|
| 13/7/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 16/7/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 20/7/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 23/7/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 27/7/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |
| 9/8/18 | Wright Rain, 1 hour | 5 |

Other actions

| Date16/7/18 | Action |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| 13/6/18 | Trial area fenced to exclude rabbits |

b. Trial diary

| Date | Event |
|----------|--|
| 22/05/18 | Seed sown (First sowing) |
| 22/05/18 | Phytodrip treatments applied |
| 29/05/18 | Seed sown (Second sowing) |
| 29/05/18 | Phytodrip treatments applied |
| 12/06/18 | Germination assessment |
| 12/06/18 | Drench treatment (T8) applied to first sowing |
| 13/06/18 | First sowing transplanted |
| 15/06/18 | Spray treatments applied to first transplants |
| 19/06/18 | Germination assessment |
| 20/06/18 | Drench treatment (T8) applied to second sowing |
| 20/06/18 | Second sowing transplanted |
| 21/06/18 | Spray treatments applied to second transplants |
| 28/06/18 | In-field phytoxicity assessment |
| 28/06/18 | Second Movento spray applied to T4 first planting |
| 04/07/18 | In-field phytoxicity assessment |
| 04/07/18 | Second Movento spray applied to T4 second planting |
| 26/07/18 | First transplant harvest |
| 31/07/18 | First transplant harvest |
| 01/08/18 | First transplant harvest |
| 06/08/18 | Second transplant harvest |
| 07/08/18 | Second transplant harvest |
| 23/08/18 | All remaining plants harvested |

| | Tempe | Rainfall (mm) | | |
|----------|------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| Date | Max 09-09 | Min 09-09 | Total 09-09 | |
| 01/05/18 | 14.61 | 0.42 | 6.40 | |
| 02/05/18 | 12.87 | 8.18 | 5.60 | |
| 03/05/18 | 15.69 | 2.19 | 0.00 | |
| 04/05/18 | 17.68 | 9.56 | 0.00 | |
| 05/05/18 | 22.17 | 5.01 | 0.00 | |
| 06/05/18 | 23.35 | 6.37 | 0.00 | |
| 07/05/18 | 26.05 | 7.00 | 0.00 | |
| 08/05/18 | 20.58 | 9.02 | 0.00 | |
| 09/05/18 | 17.09 5.70 | | 1.20 | |
| 10/05/18 | 16.16 | 6.70 | 0.00 | |
| 11/05/18 | 15.64 | 4.32 | 0.20 | |
| 12/05/18 | 15.12 | 7.02 | 0.80 | |
| 13/05/18 | 18.27 | 6.41 | 0.00 | |
| 14/05/18 | 20.35 | 3.71 | 0.00 | |
| 15/05/18 | 22.31 | 5.65 | 0.00 | |
| 16/05/18 | 15.01 | 12.14 | 0.00 | |
| 17/05/18 | 16.36 | 2.94 | 0.00 | |
| 18/05/18 | 17.68 | 1.25 | 0.00 | |
| 19/05/18 | 21.15 | 3.20 | 0.00 | |
| 20/05/18 | 22.52 | 5.59 | 0.00 | |
| 21/05/18 | 23.65 | 4.94 | 0.00 | |
| 22/05/18 | 21.43 | 10.66 | 0.00 | |
| 23/05/18 | 19.18 | 10.03 | 0.40 | |
| 24/05/18 | 21.22 | 9.36 | 12.40 | |
| 25/05/18 | 15.78 | 10.16 | 3.00 | |
| 26/05/18 | 22.47 | 11.66 | 15.80 | |
| 27/05/18 | 24.91 | 11.55 | 0.00 | |
| 28/05/18 | 22.97 | 12.45 | 0.00 | |
| 29/05/18 | 19.59 | 12.98 | 9.40 | |
| 30/05/18 | 18.35 | 13.15 | 0.20 | |
| 31/05/18 | 23.00 | 13.94 | 3.80 | |
| 01/06/18 | 22.96 | 16.60 | 0.00 | |
| 02/06/18 | 23.08 | 14.64 | 0.00 | |
| 03/06/18 | 24.98 | 10.37 | 0.00 | |
| 04/06/18 | 17.95 | 15.13 | 0.00 | |
| 05/06/18 | 17.02 | 11.08 | 0.00 | |
| 06/06/18 | 19.81 | 6.91 | 0.00 | |
| 07/06/18 | 18.68 | 7.84 | 0.00 | |
| 08/06/18 | 18.87 | 12.92 | 0.00 | |
| 09/06/18 | 19.10 | 10.48 | 0.00 | |

c. Climatological data during study period

| 10/06/18 | 21.17 | 8.73 | 0.00 |
|----------|-------|-------|------|
| 11/06/18 | 24.20 | 8.49 | 0.00 |
| 12/06/18 | 20.01 | 12.55 | 0.00 |
| 13/06/18 | 22.22 | 7.58 | 0.20 |
| 14/06/18 | 20.94 | 13.63 | 0.00 |
| 15/06/18 | 20.13 | 6.02 | 0.20 |
| 16/06/18 | 20.69 | 11.02 | 0.00 |
| 17/06/18 | 18.08 | 10.51 | 0.00 |
| 18/06/18 | 22.82 | 12.72 | 0.00 |
| 19/06/18 | 24.25 | 16.10 | 0.00 |
| 20/06/18 | 23.83 | 14.26 | 0.00 |
| 21/06/18 | 18.96 | 7.73 | 0.00 |
| 22/06/18 | 22.30 | 5.41 | 0.00 |
| 23/06/18 | 22.21 | 5.76 | 0.00 |
| 24/06/18 | 24.63 | 6.08 | 0.00 |
| 25/06/18 | 28.27 | 7.57 | 0.00 |
| 26/06/18 | 27.75 | 9.36 | 0.00 |
| 27/06/18 | 26.34 | 10.03 | 0.00 |
| 28/06/18 | 26.81 | 10.76 | 0.00 |
| 29/06/18 | 26.60 | 11.31 | 0.00 |
| 30/06/18 | 27.70 | 11.89 | 0.00 |
| 01/07/18 | 29.50 | 11.89 | 0.00 |
| 02/07/18 | 27.56 | 13.79 | 0.00 |
| 03/07/18 | 25.17 | 11.32 | 0.00 |
| 04/07/18 | 26.41 | 10.79 | 0.00 |
| 05/07/18 | 29.10 | 16.80 | 0.00 |
| 06/07/18 | 29.29 | 12.89 | 0.00 |
| 07/07/18 | 28.05 | 13.51 | 0.00 |
| 08/07/18 | 29.96 | 12.68 | 0.00 |
| 09/07/18 | 27.74 | 14.27 | 0.00 |
| 10/07/18 | 23.14 | 13.93 | 0.00 |
| 11/07/18 | 25.11 | 10.46 | 0.00 |
| 12/07/18 | 24.39 | 12.65 | 0.00 |
| 13/07/18 | 26.19 | 13.90 | 0.00 |
| 14/07/18 | 27.47 | 12.12 | 0.00 |
| 15/07/18 | 27.58 | 10.79 | 0.00 |
| 16/07/18 | 26.82 | 12.07 | 0.20 |
| 17/07/18 | 22.86 | 10.36 | 0.00 |
| 18/07/18 | 24.34 | 10.47 | 0.00 |
| 19/07/18 | 27.05 | 12.23 | 0.00 |
| 20/07/18 | 27.55 | 11.97 | 0.00 |
| 21/07/18 | 25.41 | 11.91 | 0.00 |
| 22/07/18 | 28.96 | 16.36 | 0.00 |
| 23/07/18 | 30.04 | 15.99 | 0.00 |

| 24/07/18 | 28.46 | 14.59 | 0.00 |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| 25/07/18 | 28.46 | 14.95 | 0.00 |
| 26/07/18 | 32.59 | 12.54 | 0.00 |
| 27/07/18 | 28.61 | 16.72 | 0.20 |
| 28/07/18 | 21.82 | 12.56 | 6.00 |
| 29/07/18 | 22.27 | 13.34 | 6.60 |
| 30/07/18 | 24.33 | 13.92 | 0.00 |
| 01/08/18 | 24.03 | 10.71 | 0.00 |
| 02/08/18 | 27.11 | 13.87 | 0.00 |
| 03/08/18 | 26.50 | 14.41 | 0.00 |
| 04/08/18 | 26.15 | 15.89 | 0.00 |
| 05/08/18 | 29.57 | 11.97 | 0.00 |
| 06/08/18 | 28.30 | 13.02 | 0.00 |
| 07/08/18 | 27.52 | 14.52 | 0.00 |
| 08/08/18 | 23.17 | 12.60 | 0.00 |
| 09/08/18 | 20.68 | 13.36 | 0.40 |
| 10/08/18 | 18.20 | 7.83 | 7.20 |
| 11/08/18 | 20.83 | 5.82 | 10.20 |
| 12/08/18 | 18.79 | 15.68 | 0.20 |
| 13/08/18 | 24.08 | 14.80 | 0.00 |
| 14/08/18 | 23.41 | 11.44 | 0.00 |
| 15/08/18 | 23.35 | 15.76 | 13.60 |
| 16/08/18 | 20.52 | 15.93 | 0.00 |
| 17/08/18 | 20.46 | 10.40 | 0.00 |
| 18/08/18 | 22.40 | 15.55 | 0.00 |
| 19/08/18 | 24.89 | 16.98 | 0.00 |
| 20/08/18 | 22.52 | 14.81 | 0.00 |
| 21/08/18 | 24.74 | 15.17 | 0.00 |
| 22/08/18 | 24.33 | 13.88 | 7.60 |
| 23/08/18 | 20.12 | 12.65 | 0.00 |
| 24/08/18 | 17.77 | 9.10 | 2.80 |
| 25/08/18 | 17.77 | 6.58 | 0.40 |
| 26/08/18 | 18.94 | 8.65 | 12.60 |
| 27/08/18 | 18.23 | 11.76 | 0.00 |
| 28/08/18 | 18.49 | 10.03 | 3.00 |
| 29/08/18 | 19.18 | 11.82 | 0.00 |
| 30/08/18 | 19.00 | 5.90 | 0.00 |
| 31/08/18 | 20.92 | 5.52 | 0.00 |

d. Raw data from assessments

Date Plot Treatment First planting Second planting LRA score Plants with LRA LRA score Plants with LRA 26/7/18 0.1 0.2 0.1

Percentage leaf area damaged by onion thrips (plot means)

| | 47 | 7 | 0 | 0 | | |
|--------|----|----|---|---|-----|---|
| | 48 | 11 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 6/8/18 | 1 | 8 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2 | 11 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 1 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | 2 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 5 | 12 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 6 | 7 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 7 | 9 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 8 | 3 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 9 | 6 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 10 | 4 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 11 | 10 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 12 | 5 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 13 | 12 | | | 0.2 | 1 |
| | 14 | 5 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 15 | 3 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 16 | 4 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 17 | 6 | | | 0.1 | 1 |
| | 18 | 11 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 19 | 1 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 20 | 10 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 21 | 1 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 22 | 2 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 23 | 9 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 24 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 25 | 1 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 20 | 4 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 21 | 10 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 20 | 10 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 30 | 8 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 31 | 2 | | | 03 | 3 |
| | 32 | 5 | | | 0.0 | 0 |
| | 33 | 11 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 34 | 12 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 35 | 6 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 36 | 1 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 37 | 6 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 38 | 2 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 39 | 10 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 40 | 1 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 41 | 9 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 42 | 5 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 43 | 4 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 44 | 8 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 45 | 12 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 46 | 3 | | | 0.1 | 1 |
| | 47 | 7 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 48 | 11 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | |

| 23/8/18 | 1 | 8 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 |
|---------|----|----|-----|---|-----|---|
| | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 2 |
| | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 6 | 7 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | 10 | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 11 | 10 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.8 | 4 |
| | 12 | 5 | 0.4 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| | 13 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 14 | 5 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | 15 | 3 | 0.5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | 10 | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 10 | 7 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 20 | 10 | 0.4 | 2 | 02 | 1 |
| | 20 | 10 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | 21 | 2 | 02 | 1 | 02 | 1 |
| | 23 | 9 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | 24 | 8 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 |
| | 25 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 27 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 28 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |
| | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 30 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 31 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | 32 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 33 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 2 |
| | 34 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 35 | 6 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 | 2 |
| | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| | 37 | 6 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.3 | 2 |
| | 38 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 |
| | 39 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 41 | 9 | 0.3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | 42 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 43 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 44 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | 45 | 12 | 0.3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 46 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 41 | 1 | 1.5 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | 40 | | 0.0 | | 0 | 0 |

Lettuce head weight and foliar pests

| | | | | Percentage plants with | | | |
|---------------|------|-----------|--------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | | Currant | Common green | Silver -y caterpillar or | |
| Date | Plot | Treatment | Weight | lettuce aphid | capsid | frass | |
| First plantin | ng | | | | | | |
| 26/7/18 | 1 | 8 | 1194 | 0 | 70 | 10 | |
| | 2 | 11 | 1333.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 3 | 1 | 1494.5 | 0 | 60 | 20 | |
| | 4 | 2 | 1363.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 5 | 12 | 1417 | 0 | 60 | 0 | |
| | 6 | 7 | 1379 | 0 | 60 | 10 | |
| | 7 | 9 | 1382.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 8 | 3 | 1403 | 0 | 90 | 0 | |
| | 9 | 6 | 1463 | 0 | 80 | 0 | |
| | 10 | 4 | 1280 | 0 | 40 | 0 | |
| | 11 | 10 | 1261 | 0 | 20 | 0 | |
| | 12 | 5 | 1126 | 0 | 30 | 0 | |
| | 13 | 12 | 1220 | 0 | 30 | 10 | |
| | 14 | 5 | 1088 | 0 | 60 | 0 | |
| | 15 | 3 | 1282.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | |
| | 16 | 4 | 1247.5 | 0 | 50 | 10 | |
| | 17 | 6 | 1244.5 | 0 | 60 | 0 | |
| | 18 | 11 | 1353 | 10 | 70 | 0 | |
| | 19 | 7 | 1482.5 | 0 | 40 | 10 | |
| | 20 | 10 | 1588.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 21 | 1 | 1456.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | |
| | 22 | 2 | 1456.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 23 | 9 | 1185 | 0 | 80 | 10 | |
| | 24 | 8 | 1196.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 25 | 7 | 1111 | 0 | 40 | 20 | |
| | 26 | 4 | 1055.5 | 0 | 20 | 0 | |
| | 27 | 9 | 1008 | 0 | 20 | 10 | |
| | 28 | 10 | 1100.5 | 0 | 30 | 10 | |
| | 29 | 3 | 1109 | 0 | 10 | 10 | |
| | 30 | 8 | 1152.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 31 | 2 | 1074 | 0 | 40 | 0 | |
| | 32 | 5 | 1193.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 33 | 11 | 1243 | 0 | 20 | 0 | |
| | 34 | 12 | 1146.5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 35 | 6 | 1143.7 | 0 | 40 | 0 | |
| | 36 | 1 | 941.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | |
| | 37 | 6 | 950.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | |
| | 38 | 2 | 1068.5 | 10 | 30 | 10 | |
| | 39 | 10 | 1109.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | |
| | 40 | 1 | 1041 | 0 | 30 | 0 | |
| | 41 | 9 | 1013 | 0 | 20 | 0 | |
| | 42 | 5 | 1118.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | |
| | 43 | 4 | 1152.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | |
| | 44 | 8 | 1185.5 | 10 | 30 | 0 | |
| | 45 | 12 | 1050 | 10 | 20 | 20 | |

| | 46 | 3 | 946 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
|----------|---------|----|--------|---|----|----|
| | 47 | 7 | 997.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 48 | 11 | 935.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Second p | lanting | | | | | |
| 6/8/18 | 1 | 8 | 969.0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | 2 | 11 | 929.5 | 0 | 50 | 0 |
| | 3 | 1 | 704.0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 4 | 2 | 992.0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| | 5 | 12 | 1027.0 | 0 | 70 | 0 |
| | 6 | 7 | 968.5 | 0 | 40 | 10 |
| | 7 | 9 | 944.5 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 8 | 3 | 1138.0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 9 | 6 | 1055.5 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| | 10 | 4 | 1049.4 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| | 11 | 10 | 935.5 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| | 12 | 5 | 764.8 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| | 13 | 12 | 778.0 | 0 | 40 | 10 |
| | 14 | 5 | 689.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | 15 | 3 | 978.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | 16 | 4 | 944.4 | 0 | 44 | 11 |
| | 17 | 6 | 980.5 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| | 18 | 11 | 1923.0 | 0 | 50 | 10 |
| | 19 | 7 | 1074.0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| | 20 | 10 | 1111.5 | 0 | 30 | 10 |
| | 21 | 1 | 1004.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 22 | 2 | 942.0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| | 23 | 9 | 1013.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 24 | 8 | 825.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 25 | 7 | 926.1 | 0 | 44 | 11 |
| | 26 | 4 | 883.0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | 27 | 9 | 1001.0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 28 | 10 | 990.0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 29 | 3 | 911.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 30 | 8 | 1104.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 31 | 2 | 1069.5 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| | 32 | 5 | 1266.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | 33 | 11 | 1242.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 34 | 12 | 1106.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 35 | 6 | 1100.0 | 0 | 30 | 10 |
| | 36 | 1 | 1044.5 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 37 | 6 | 999.5 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 38 | 2 | 1171.0 | 0 | 40 | 10 |
| | 39 | 10 | 1036.0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 40 | 1 | 1074.0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | 41 | 9 | 1038.0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 42 | 5 | 1075.5 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| | 43 | 4 | 1054.5 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 44 | 8 | 1284.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 45 | 12 | 1144.0 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| | 46 | 3 | 940.0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | 47 | 7 | 1015.0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| | 48 | 11 | 1023.3 | 0 | 33 | 0 |

e. Photographs of the trial



Trial on 27 June 2018



Trial on 10 July 2018

Trial number Sponsor Crop Location

W2018.012 SCEPTREPlus lettuce Sheep Pens

| 8 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 5 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 12 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 8 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 7 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 1 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |
| 6 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 11 |
| 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |



Certificate of

Official Recognition of Efficacy Testing Facilities or Organisations in the United Kingdom

This certifies that

Warwick Crop Centre, School of Life Sciences

complies with the minimum standards laid down in Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 for efficacy testing.

The above Facility/Organisation has been officially recognised as being competent to carry out efficacy trials/tests in the United Kingdom in the following categories:

Agriculture/Horticulture Biologicals and Semiochemicals

Date of issue: Effective date: Expiry date: 6 October 2017 20 March 2017 19 March 2022

Signature

Alisan Kichaelon

HSE Chemicals Regulation Division Certification Number



Agriculture and Rural Development